

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/646,441 | 08/24/2003 | Luis Torres | 305OE003 | 9724 | | |
| 75 | 590 03/08/2006 | | EXAM | INER | | |
| Steven M. Evans, Esq. | | | NGUYEN, HU | NGUYEN, HUNG THANH | | |
| Stratos Lightwave, Inc. 7444 West Wilson Avenue | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Chicago, IL 60706 | | | 2841 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/08/200 | DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| H | ·Ł | |
|-----|----|--|
| , , | , | |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/646,441 | TORRES ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| HUNG T. NGUYEN | 2841 | | |

| | HUNG T. NGUYEN | 2841 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ion. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig to than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further content (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | illowable if submitted in a separate. | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winded below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a (1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | ince because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | . (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) \ Paper ∣ | No(s) | |
| 13. | | | MER ID |
| | ناه الأراقية. | 10000 | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Amendment claims 1-27 are rejected by the same reason as discussed in Final Office Action.